

THE DRUNKEN CLOWNS OF WESTMINSTER

Lobby correspondent's report exposes parliamentary rabble

APOLOGISTS for the political system misnamed 'democracy' have an image of their beloved parliament in which they would like the British people to believe. The image is of a dignified establishment where the people's representatives gather to engage in a learned, enlightened and civilised debate on the great issues facing the nation. These representatives, because they have been voted in by the people's choice, will of course carry out the people's will, dealing with national issues in accordance with the wishes of the people. They will conduct their discussions in an atmosphere of sobriety and reason — as befits the hallowed political institution to which they belong; and they will of course conduct themselves at all times in a responsible manner, mindful of the great burden they carry on their shoulders as the elected leaders of the country. It is of course presumed that, because they have been thus elected, they are the people best qualified to govern our affairs.

That is how believers in the system like to think of our MPs.

The reality, as the nation is now gradually learning, is very different. A large portion of those now occupying seats in the House of Commons are nothing better than an unruly, drunken rabble. It is clear that they regard the mandate they

have been given by the British voters as just a licence to go to Westminster and 'live it up' at the people's expense. On many major issues, such as the Common Market, Immigration and Capital Punishment, they vote in parliament in a manner utterly contemptuous of the wishes of those who have elected them. And they behave in the House

RON BROWN
Thrown out of the House



more like spoilt brats in a kindergarten than adult leaders of a nation.


Last month, one of their number, Ron Brown, the member for Edinburgh Leith, had to be suspended from the House for hooligan conduct involving the seizure of the mace. But Brown is merely one of many. Similar incidents of disorder now take place in the parliamentary chamber with increasing regularity. Now revelations have come to light which do much to explain why.

BOOZED UP TO THE EYEBALLS

Lobby correspondent Chris Moncrieff, who has worked in the House for years, wrote an article in *The Tatler* magazine last month in which he lifted the lid off the barge of boozing and violence that the House of Commons has now

Contd. on page 2

Oppression against Whites stepped up



RACE-MIXING TYRANTS THREATEN LONDONERS WITH NEW PERSECUTION

IN A VICIOUS new development, the white people of London are being threatened with still more intensified repression by the race-integrators. These tyrants, who now occupy most of the key centres of power in our capital city, are seeking to step up their drive to force multi-racialism on Londoners.

As part of what seems to be some sort of pilot scheme, which it is no doubt intended to extend to the rest of the capital in due course, two London boroughs are being subjected to an official campaign against so-called 'racial harassment'. In what appears to be an opening blow in this campaign, Tower Hamlets and Redbridge are currently being mass-circulated with a brochure entitled *Racial Harassment Action Guide*. This urges victims of racial harassment, real or alleged, to report it to the police so that the supposed culprits can be hunted down.

Outwardly, much of this publication, written in a number of Asian languages as well as in English, gives a show of impartiality between the races, and in places even reads as if Whites are intended to be given the same protection against racially motivated attacks and harassment as are non-Whites.

However, the lie is given to any such appearance of impartiality by a number of facts. To begin with, taking one small feature of the brochure, it is illustrated entirely with photographs portraying ethnic minority members as possible victims of racial attack — which can only be meant to imply that, as far as the authors are concerned, 'ethnics' are the main victims of racial harassment, if not the only ones.

Not the least objectionable aspect of this brochure is that it is published

by the 'Community Relations Branch' of Scotland Yard. This is the despicable 'race police', set up on government orders as part of the all-out effort by Britain's present rulers to intimidate ordinary British into submission to the new order of multi-racialism. One of the functions of this body is to try to stitch up patriotic Britons opposed to the multi-racial society — so that they can then be convicted and imprisoned for their opposition.

MULTI-RACIAL SOCIETY A DISASTER

There has been quite a bit of public concern expressed over the question of racial disharmony and disorder in recent years. Indeed, we nationalists have been in the forefront of those who have always maintained that the 'multi-racial society' would in practice turn out to be a disaster, and that all it would lead to would be racial tension, chaos and probable violence.

But, whatever the real problems that multi-racialism, in the nature of things, is inevitably bound to cause, whenever the matter of 'racial harassment' has been raised by our established public figures it has always been in a way that portrays non-Whites as being harassed by Whites, and never the other way round.

Indeed, anyone would think, from the way in which the issue is stated, that non-white immigrants in Britain were being subjected to some sort of full-scale persecution at the hands of the native British. No convincing evidence of this has ever been forthcoming.

Any remaining doubt that Whites are the ones meant to be on the

Contd. on page 3

OUR LEADERS



This is a cutting from the front page of *The Sun* newspaper (April 25th), with a headline and article revealing the facts about our elected 'leaders'

British Nationalist

No. 79 MAY 1988
Editor: John Morse

PORTRAIT OF A RACE TRAITOR

ACCORDING TO REPORTS, Mr. Douglas Hurd, our Home Secretary, has used the recent 20th anniversary of Enoch Powell's speech warning of the dire consequences of Afro-Asian immigration, to praise the growing power and influence of the immigrant community which has been allowed to settle here. Mr. Hurd was speaking in High Wycombe, a town which, ironically enough, was the scene of yet another race riot earlier this year.

Mr. Hurd was gleefully rejoicing in the appointment of 1,000 police officers and 500 magistrates from the 'ethnic minorities'. Even more strangely, he welcomed the 141 non-white London borough councillors. We say 'strangely' because a clear majority of these are probably far-left Labour militants, and Mr. Hurd is the minister for law and order in what is supposed to be a 'right-wing' Tory Government!

The Home Secretary went on to praise the activities of the



HURD

Welcomes the submergence of his own people

thousands of ethnic minority businessmen who, he claimed, were not only "paving our streets with gold" but "generating jobs for the country." Hurd was quoted as giving a figure of 2,500,000 coloured immigrants in Britain — roughly the same figure as Westminster politicians have been giving for the past two decades or so — and concluded that he was "filled with hope that the strains and tensions of integration would be ironed out."

Many Britons will be disposed to question the accuracy of Mr. Hurd's statements. This ethnic minority 'success', we ourselves feel, is not necessarily due in all cases to the unaided individual merit of the ethnic minority peoples on whom the Home Secretary was so anxious to lavish praise. There have, after all, been great numbers of powerfully placed people in this country who have for many years dedicated themselves to promoting the interests and welfare of aliens, non-Whites and non-British. These people have formed themselves into a lobby which infests parliament, local authorities, the media and the world of education. One of their measures has been to introduce laws which make it an offence to discriminate in favour of white people in places of employment, leading many employers to be afraid of giving white applicants jobs instead of coloured ones for fear of prosecution. Also many ethnic minority members have benefitted from special grants given them to set up businesses, which grants would not have been available to native Whites..

Things have now reached a stage where a large portion of our national and local administrators openly work for the interests of the ethnic minorities in preference to those of their own people.

And there is no doubt that Mr. Hurd himself is one of those

Contd. col. 2, back page

THE RECENT French presidential election turned out to be a triumph for the nationalist leader Jean-Marie Le Pen, who captured nearly 15 per cent of the votes cast across the nation.

This exceeds all the predictions, even of M. Le Pen's staunchest supporters. In the run-up to the election France's established politicians and media did their utmost to underplay the level of support for M. Le Pen's patriotic campaign, in their efforts to dissuade electors from giving him their votes.

In France, as in Britain, all the politicians of the establishment see any such patriotism as a threat to themselves.

LE PEN'S STAND

M. Le Pen, like nationalists in Britain, stands for the preservation of his country's identity. Mass immigration from Algeria and other Third World countries has been deliberately encouraged by the corrupt leaders of the established political system. As a result, France now has an alien element of quite a few millions. Part of the reason for the mass support won by Le Pen is that he has addressed himself to remedying this problem — by proposing the obvious solution of humane repatriation which the other politicians will not touch.

In France the alien immigrants have been disproportionately involved in violent crime, as well as taking much sought-after jobs during a time of high unemployment for French workers, and these are among the problems that have helped to contribute to Le Pen's rising support.

However, there is much evidence of support for Le Pen going far beyond areas in which there is high unemployment or large numbers of immigrants. In many quite prosperous provincial and rural areas, well away from the big cities, Le Pen has won up to 30 per cent of the vote.

Frenchmen and -women of many social backgrounds have responded in large numbers to Le Pen's appeal for public decency and his down-to-earth nationalism.

SPECTACULAR RISE

In the 1981 presidential election M. Le Pen received far below one per cent of the vote. But since then, by firm personal leadership, intelligent political action and a sane set of proposals designed to meet the real problems currently faced by the French nation, he and his party, the *Front National*, have gone from strength to strength.

They have gained seats on local councils. They have won seats in the French and European parliaments. They have become a truly powerful force in French affairs.

The drunken clowns of Westminster

(CONTD. FROM FRONT PAGE)

become.


According to Mr. Moncrieff, members are often falling asleep in the lavatories and others slumber all night in the numerous Commons bars.

And security staff have sometimes had to send for police to break up drunken scuffles between members.

"At many times of the day or night," said Moncrieff, "there are more MPs in the numerous drinking dens at the Palace of Westminster than in the Chamber itself."

He continued: "Some MPs have snored the night away in Annie's Bar. Sometimes the whips have had to extract Hon. members snoozing in the loos."

Long booze-ups, says Mr. Moncrieff, take place in the Strangers' Bar, known as the Kremlin. Labour MPs tend to gather there and knock back pints of Newcastle Federation Beer. "These," he said, "are swilled down with relish from 11 a.m. on the sitting days until the rising of the House, which may be the following



Le Pen shows the way

FRENCH LEADER'S POLL SUCCESS SETS AN EXAMPLE AND A CHALLENGE TO NATIONALISTS IN BRITAIN

At present, with the second round of the current election to the French presidency, M. Le Pen is in a strong position. Although himself eliminated from the contest, as he knew he would be, he can still have a possibly decisive influence on who eventually wins, by recommending his own voters to support one or other of the surviving candidates, M. Francois Mitterand and M. Jacques Chirac. Should either candidate succeed in becoming president by means of this support, he would have to pay a price by implementing policies agreeable to Le Pen's nationalists.

Before the election M. Le Pen was dubbed an 'outsider'. Now he describes his success as "an earthquake — a radical change in the political landscape of France."

All this has been achieved, starting from almost nothing, in 7 years.

HOW DID IT HAPPEN?

To what has M. Le Pen and the *Front National* owed this tremendous rise?

What was basically needed was that French people should acquire a will to preserve their nationhood and national identity, and then line up behind the political leadership necessary to achieve this goal.

The fact that this did happen has proved that a people of a modern western nation can mount an effective fight-back against the forces that aim to destroy them. We must hope that the millions of British people who would like to stage a similar fight-back themselves will get this message.

In addition to this, great numbers of

French people showed themselves prepared to ignore the propaganda of the mass media and back an 'outsider'. People in Britain must show similar preparedness.

But that is not all. Le Pen's achievement is an example to those in this country who are already committed in their own minds to the cause of nationalism and to the building of an alternative force in national politics but who still display an inability to 'think big' in their political perspectives and strategies.

Too many active nationalists in Britain still retain the mentality of the 'small-timer', the person who is so preoccupied with little factional rivalries between various nationalist groups that he is incapable of thinking in the dimensions needed for the

JEAN-MARIE LE PEN
A superb example to patriots in Britain

nationalist movement to become a contender for real national power.

And there are some of course who still repudiate the first principle involved in the success of the *Front National* in France: that the movement cannot be 'led' by a committee of grey and semi-anonymous nonentities; it must unite behind a single strong individual leader of proven capability who will act as its principal voice and personify its vision and its will.

The present electoral standing of nationalism in Britain is no worse than that of M. Le Pen's movement back in the early part of this decade.

And in Britain all the same problems are present as those in France have led to the rise of Le Pen's movement. Indeed unemployment here is substantially higher — in a country of roughly similar population.

In Britain we do not have the advantage of the system of proportional representation that has helped the *Front National* in France, and this means that our path forward will be more difficult and may take longer.

But this obstacle is not an insurmountable one; it can be overcome.

What the nationalist movement here has to do is get its act properly together; to reject the squalid little gang bosses who profit from mutual rivalry and factionalism, and form a mighty force for national regeneration of the kind that M. Le Pen has created in France.

It can be done — our neighbours across the Channel have shown it can be done. We British, who have shown across the centuries that we are in no way inferior to those neighbours, must now show that in the creation of a movement of patriotism and national awakening we can do as well as they.

So let us salute M. Le Pen and his followers for their achievement — and then let us get down to the task of emulating it!

THE ZOLA BUDD CONTROVERSY

Britain should tell the Afro-Asian bloc to go to hell

WE DO NOT CARE very much whether Zola Budd actually competed in the athletic meeting in South Africa that has been the cause of recent controversy, or whether she merely went there as a spectator. Either way, the agitators of the Afro-Asian bloc have no right to dictate to Britain over her inclusion in this year's British Olympic team.

With this in mind, we feel that the cringing attitude of this country's Olympic administrators towards these upstarts of the Third World is pathetic.

Britain should ignore what people think abroad and select the best Olympic team available, and if this causes our exclusion from the Olympic Games in Korea later in the year then — so be it. The blame will rest on those other white nations who have kow-towed to the Blacks.

It is high time that the Third World loudmouths be told very firmly to go to hell!

ZOLA BUDD
Third World political pawn



to day. Instead of the reasoned arguments that are supposed to be characteristic of the tradition of parliamentary 'democracy', there is an ongoing zoological din, as members scream and shout at each other across the House. The Speaker's voice is frequently heard (and sometimes unheard) calling for 'Order, Order' as these contests of bedlam get completely out of hand.

The picture of our 'Mother of Parliaments' that emerges from all this should provide a sobering jolt to those who revere that ancient institution, but it certainly does not surprise us from our inside knowledge of what goes on inside the place.

The truth is that a large portion of those who get elected to go to parliament as the 'people's representatives' under the 'democratic' system are utterly useless individuals unfit for major public responsibility of any kind.

And once they get there, instead of doing the job they are paid by the people to do, they spend a great deal of their time carousing and then sitting or lying down somewhere sleeping it off.

That is when they are not getting involved in unseemly bar-room brawls.

But these people's representatives are certainly not above giving themselves special privileges which we ordinary mortals outside the House do not enjoy. They keep the bars open round the clock, and they grant themselves booze at cheap rates while the taxpayer picks up the tab for the losses.

It is a picture of politics in degeneration. The institution, and the people who batten on to it, have now long outlived their usefulness to Britain and should be replaced by an alternative system of government that will make possible the promotion of the nation's very best leaders to power and to office — leaders who will dedicate their time to the service of the people of Britain. As for the present gang, well might we say to them, in the words of Cromwell, when three centuries ago he was faced with a similar situation at Westminster and was forced to clean out the Augean stables: "You have been here too long for all the good you have done. In the name of God, go!"

SCREAMING AND SHOUTING

These revelations will come as no surprise to those who have heard the debates in parliament on radio from day

WHY ARE THE MEDIA SUPPRESSING NEWS ABOUT BLACK TERROR GANG?

A NEW and sinister phenomenon has recently arisen in multi-racial Britain which so far has received very little media publicity — although in fact it should rightly be a major national talking point.

This is the emergence of a terrorist group calling itself the Black Liberation Front. Already this group has shown that it is not just a paper organisation. It has planted four bombs in Wolverhampton, apparently at the moment the chief centre of its operations. It looks as though the police are the main target of these bomb attempts, though so far no police officer has yet been hurt.

On March 6th 1987 a small explosive device was left by the group in Wolverhampton Civic Centre. It went off, but no-one was hurt.

Then on July 21st another bomb was left in the boot of a car outside Birmingham Road police station. It was discovered before it exploded.

On November 6th a bomb was left under a parked car outside Cobden House flats. Police received a call to the flats about an alleged disturbance but when they arrived they found that the call had been a hoax. On reconnoitring the area, they discovered a wire that led to the bomb.

Then on the 6th of April this year the

police were lured by a similar hoax call to flats in the Pendeford district of the town, where yet another bomb was discovered.

The police subsequently received a message informing them that it was the Black Liberation Front that had been responsible for 'planting the bombs and that the aim of the BLF was to kill police officers in retaliation for "harassment of black people."

These incidents have received some attention in the Wolverhampton local press, but have been greatly played down by the national news media, though they did receive a mention last month in the Daily and Sunday

Telegraphs. So far we are not aware that there has been any coverage of them, or of the BLF, on television news.

If these bombs aimed at the police had been planted by the IRA, we can be quite sure that they would have received prominent nationwide coverage, but because the organisation planting them is black there has been a noticeable 'hushing up' of the affair.

Quite obviously, those in power have put the word out to the news media to 'play down' the Black Liberation Front and its activities in the interests of 'race relations' — meaning

in effect that our rulers are not anxious for the group to get nationwide attention because it provides just one more example of the disastrous consequences of the multi-racial society.

Here we have yet further proof of a conspiracy by the media to cover up the effects of multi-racialism in Britain. It confirms what we said last month: that a great many incidents of racial trouble are now going unreported, or only slightly reported, because the multi-racialist lobby (which includes the government, the media and all the Westminster parties) is determined to lull the British public to sleep over the race issue, sustaining the impression that racial integration is taking place peacefully while the reality is completely the opposite.

It is difficult to decide what is the more alarming aspect of this affair: the emergence of the black terror group itself, or the orchestrated mass media cover-up of it. Certainly, both should give us serious food for thought.

THE GIBRALTAR AFFAIR

Our TV producers: whose side are they on?

THE SCANDALOUS AFFAIR of the ITV programme on the Gibraltar shooting incident has raised, once again, the question that we have asked many times in the past: Who is controlling our television — and whose side are they on?

Many times in the past, British people have been subjected to TV programmes, sometimes dealing with Northern Ireland, sometimes with the Falklands War and sometimes with matters of national security, in which the stance taken by the programme-makers has been revealed as downright anti-British. ITV's *This Week* programme, broadcast on April 28th, and dealing with the shooting in Gibraltar of three IRA terrorists by an



TERRORISTS LIE DEAD
The scene of the incident, shortly after the shooting. ITV now wants us to weep tears over the fate of these IRA killers

SAS unit, was just the latest in a long succession.

Straightaway, let us be clear on this: the attitude of this paper is that, whatever the circumstances leading to the shooting of the IRA trio — whether the SAS men acted strictly within the rules or not, we applaud the shooting because that it what should be done with murdering scum of that kind.



THE INCREDIBLE WITNESS
Carmen Proetta: Spanish police said she would do anything for money

The purpose of the *This Week* programme, however, was to suggest that the SAS men did not act within the rules governing such situations but in fact shot the three without first attempting to arrest them alive.

STAR 'WITNESS'

To substantiate their theory, the producers of the programme dragged up a number of extremely dubious 'witnesses', the main one of which was a Mrs. Carmen Proetta, who testified that she had seen the incident clearly from the window of her second floor flat 100 yards away. According to Mrs. Proetta, the terrorists put their hands in the air, indicating that they intended to surrender peacefully, before they were shot by the SAS. This was used by the makers of the programme to back up their theory that the IRA gang were shot out of hand and in violation of the proper rules, which require that those making such arrests must have reasonable cause to believe that their own or other people's lives are in danger before opening fire.

It has subsequently transpired that Mrs. Proetta was just about the least credible 'witness' that *This Week* programme could have got its hands on. Her husband is mixed up with numerous rackets, and she herself is known locally to be a director in a call girl agency, as well as having pronounced anti-British sympathies.

And one Spanish police officer who knows Mrs. Proetta well has testified: "She'll do anything for money."

So there is the distinct suspicion that Mrs. Proetta was paid to give the highly implausible testimony she was shown giving on the programme. And, if so, the question must be asked: who paid her?

Whether she was paid directly by the makers of the programme, or paid by some other party, it is difficult to believe that the *This Week* team were not in some way implicated in the arrangement, or at least knew about it.

Mrs. Thatcher is reported to be furious about the programme and to have described it as 'Trial by Television'. Nevertheless, we seriously doubt whether either she or her government will ever actually do anything to stop British television and other broadcasting authorities being used for the dissemination of blatant anti-British propaganda.

Television has in fact now become a 'state within the state' — some would even say a 'state above the state'. Its controllers seem to be answerable to no-one. They are outside any proper government regulation, yet they are no way subject to any popular restraint by the people whose licence fees finance them.

It is high time that television in Britain, like other institutions paid for by the people, was brought back under the ownership and control of the nation and made to serve the nation — and not its many enemies, within and without.

QUOTE...

Nothing makes me laugh more than the BBC's *Eastenders*, where they all seem to get along with one another regardless of colour. In all the time I was living in the East End, most of the Whites, particularly in the pubs, struck me as being fascist bastards who would happily knock a black man down sooner than look at him.

Tom Davies, SUNDAY TELEGRAPH

RACE-MIXING TYRANTS THREATEN LONDONERS WITH NEW PERSECUTION

(Contd. from front page)

receiving end of the campaign against 'racial harassment' now being pushed by the race police might be dispelled by a look at the previous statements and attitudes of Metropolitan Police Commissioner Peter Imbert, who is said to have given his wholehearted backing to this campaign (and most probably initiated it). Immediately on becoming Commissioner last year Imbert, who boasts of his black in-laws, said he welcomed the multi-racial change in British society and threatened Londoners that putting down 'racial abuse' would be his first priority.

A *Daily Mail* report made it clear that Mr. Imbert was going to work on the assumption that Whites are always the guilty ones in racial attacks, and Blacks or Asians the victims. In the words of the report:

"The psychological stress suffered by Blacks or Asians (our emphasis) will be treated as seriously as physical scars in a charge of assault causing actual bodily harm."

Nor was this all. It is worth noting that these alleged 'attacks' need not even be very serious. An 'attack' need not involve any violence at all. According to Mr. Imbert himself, it would only be necessary for some element of 'racism' to be present in any action, or even just for an utterance with some racial content to have been made, for police priority to be given to the matter, leading to prosecution and perhaps even jail for the offender!

The race police brochure on 'racial harassment' similarly spells all this out.

OFFICIAL POLICY

Adding all this up, it can be concluded that the official policy of those in power in London is roughly as follows: Ours is a capital city where rapes and muggings (often involving vicious attacks with weapons on old people and small children) have turned vast areas into jungles where law-abiding people fear to tread. It is a city where the molestation, and even murder, of children, have become epidemic.



'RACE HARASSMENT' VICTIMS ALWAYS NON-WHITE
These photos from the inside of the new police brochure make it clear that it is coloured immigrants, and not native white Britons, who are regarded as the ones needing protection

It has been the scene of huge riots, resulting in serious injuries to hundreds of ordinary bobbies and the killing of one PC by a savage mob. Yet the ruling powers-that-be consider that a piece of uncompromising language, a slight push or a shove, or anything that might so much as cause 'psychological stress' to a coloured man, has to take precedence over all of this in the way of police attention. Don't forget, these are not our words; they are the words of Commissioner Imbert, who has spoken of fighting 'racial harassment' as his 'first priority'.

Similar stress suffered by white folk, especially the elderly, whose neighbourhoods have become too dangerous to venture out in, is apparently to take second place — perhaps a very poor second place. Indeed, one is left wondering whether the new policy is not deliberately designed to add to the psychological stress of our own folk living in London, with the intention that they should be reduced to silence by fear of the law — in addition to all the other pressures being applied against them where racial matters are concerned.

Such is the vicious new persecution with which our government and ruling establishment are threatening Whites in our capital city. If the tyrants behind this persecution are not vigorously opposed by all British people, how long will it be before the same regime of intimidation is extended to the rest of what is still supposed to be our country?

In this report we have spoken of the 'race police', but we should make it clear that this element constitutes only a small minority among our police forces. We are sure that the average London bobby no more likes to have to apply this sort of law than the population like to have it applied against them. It is creatures like Commissioner Imbert — obviously a political appointee — who must be singled out as being responsible for this sort of repressive campaign, and not the thousands of ordinary PCs working under him.



YOUR LETTERS

Sir, — I am joining the British National Party. I am an ex-Royal Marine, having volunteered for service in 1941. I have recently returned to the UK after spending many years living in Australia since the war, and I am very disappointed about what has happened to Wolverhampton, my home town. My friends, who have been staunch Labour and Tory supporters all their lives, are now very disillusioned. The black immigrants are rapidly taking over and will soon be outvoting us. Reading your paper has convinced me that crime is increasing largely as a result of multi-racialism.

In 1986 a complaint was made to the authorities by people living on my housing estate that a black tenant was making a loud noise with Tom Tom Reggae music. The police were called several times but said they couldn't do anything about it. The other tenants had to endure almost two years of this noise before anything was done, and during those two years a good many decent men and women were forced to leave their homes and go to live elsewhere because the noise had become so intolerable.

It is clear to me that the local authorities have no consideration whatsoever for their own countrymen and -women.

My ideals are the same as those of the BNP and I shall do what I can to promote the party where I live.

G. JONES
Wolverhampton

Sir, — When the BNP acquires its headquarters building, I suggest that the building be equipped with a highly visible national flag, which may be lowered each time this nation is humiliated by Black African activists and their despicable lobbyists in this country, such as in the disgraceful Zola Budd affair. At the rate that this once-proud nation is being made to grovel, the flag would be permanently at half-mast.

P. HAWES
Sevenoaks, Kent

Sir, — It has been brought to my attention that a statement made in the latest issue of *Searchlight* magazine alleges that I have written a letter to Merseyside Anti-Fascist Action informing them of my resignation from the BNP.


This statement, like so much else that appears in that rag, is a complete lie. As is well known to yourself and other senior officers of the BNP, I have, for personal reasons, had to vacate my position in Liverpool BNP. Hopefully, this will prove only temporary. I am, and will continue to be, committed to the nationalist cause, and specifically to the British National Party.

If *Searchlight* still maintains that I wrote this letter, then I assume they will reproduce it in full in their next issue to prove their point. If such a reproduction does not take place, then I think we can take it as confirmation that this was just another of their many lies.

IAN SLOAN
Liverpool

Video-recording

RALLY '87



Video-recording of the British National Party rally in London, October 31st 1987

Hear:-
RONALD RICKCORD
IAN SLOAN
GUS MCLEOD
Dr. PETER PEEL
RICHARD EDMONDS
STANLEY CLAYTON-GARNETT
DAVID BRUCE
JOHN TYNDALL

BNP Recordings, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY has recently produced its first video-recording. The video is of the BNP rally in London on October 31st 1987. It features speeches by John Tyndall and several other BNP speakers.

Cost in £18.64 (inc. p&p). Orders to: BNP Recordings, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

BNP Recordings

RALLY '82

Speeches from the BNP national rally in London on October 11th 1982, the theme of which was 'Unite and fight for Britain's future!'

Side 1: Includes speech by Charles Parker; Side 2: Speech by John Tyndall.

VOICES OF NATIONALISM

Side 1: Recordings of speeches from the nationalist unity rally in London on September 5th 1981. Hear John Tyndall, Kenneth McKilliam, Len Bearsford Walker and others; Side 2: Talk by John Tyndall on 'The coming British Revolution'.

TYNDALL SPEAKS I

Side 1: Talk on 'Our Anglo-Saxon heritage (about the worldwide dispersal of the peoples of British stock); Side 2: Talk on 'Britain's economic crisis'.

TYNDALL SPEAKS II

Side 1: Talk on 'The case for nationalism' (the internationalist argument demolished); Side 2: Talk on 'The tragedy of the 20th century' (analysis of the results of the 2nd World War).

TYNDALL SPEAKS III

Side 1: Talk on 'Why we must repatriate' (theme self-explanatory); Side 2: Talk on 'Foundations of the national community' (analysis of rights and duties in a racial-nationalist state).

TYNDALL SPEAKS IV

Side 1: Talk on 'The way to full employment'; Side 2: Talk on 'The racial time-bomb' (demolition of the case for multi-racial Britain).

These 5 sets of recordings are presented in cassette form and are available at £3.50 each (with 24p postage) from: BNP Recordings, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of nationalist policies read *Spearhead*, a 20-page monthly magazine which supports the British National Party. Price 50p. Yearly subscription £8.00. 63p for sample copy to: *Spearhead*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

BUY BRITISH NATIONALIST IN BULK

British Nationalist retails at 12p from your local seller. Would bulk purchasers order ONLY in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £2.00 + 49p post
25 copies £4.50 + £1.60 post
50 copies £8.00 + £2.00 post
100 copies £14.00 + £2.65 post
150 copies £20.00 + £2.90 post
200 copies £25.00 + £3.25 post
300 copies £35.00 + £3.75 post
400 copies £44.00 + £4.75 post
500 copies £53.00 + £5.75 post
1,000 copies £103.00 + £11.50 post

Cheques/postal orders to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *British Nationalist* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

MOBSTERS FOILED AT NORWICH

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY successfully defied an organised red mob when it staged a public rally in Norwich on Saturday, April 16th. The rally was held in support of Richard Heaton, the BNP candidate in Eaton Ward in this month's elections to the city council. As soon as the rally was announced, local left-wing groups, augmented by 'rentamob' from London, vowed that they would stop the rally being held.

Their efforts were thwarted by BNP stewards, with help from the police. Some violent scenes took place outside the meeting, where police and reds

such was the din set up by local opponents of the BNP. One group of self-styled guardians of 'democracy' set up a loud protest that the BNP was allowed even to have a meeting in the area at all, and this prompted a series of exchanges in the letter columns of the local papers. Whatever its vote this month, the BNP is now well known in Norwich!



THROWN OUT!
One of the left-wing trouble-makers is efficiently ejected by BNP stewards. Experience has shown that only vigorous action of this kind ensures that order will be kept

Contact your local party unit

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY is organised into active units extending over most of the United Kingdom. Below we give a list of the local addresses of the main units:-

NORTH LONDON
PO Box 300, Emma Street, Hackney, London E2 7BZ

SOUTH LONDON
PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS

Bromley
PO Box 212, Bromley, Kent BR1 4JP

WEST KENT
PO Box 48, Tonbridge TN11 9JF

EAST KENT
73 Shirkoak Park, Woodchurch, Ashford

NORTH EAST ESSEX
PO Box 703, Colchester CO7 9SP

SUFFOLK
2 Albert Road, Framlingham

NORFOLK
9 Johnson Place, Norwich NR2 2SA

SOUTH WALES
PO Box 214, Cardiff CF3 9YB

BIRMINGHAM
PO Box 771, Great Barr, Birmingham B44 9LZ

EAST MIDLANDS
PO Box 148, Leicester LE3 2YD

LIVERPOOL
PO Box 116, Liverpool L69 1SH

WIRRAL
PO Box 17, Birkenhead L41 3SF

MANCHESTER & SALFORD
PO Box 16, Salford M6 5EP

WEST YORKSHIRE
PO Box BR10, Bramley, Leeds 13

SOUTH YORKSHIRE
PO Box 73, Doncaster DN4 6BS

CLEVELAND
PO Box 67, Middlesbrough TS1 4YY

TYNE & WEAR
PO Box 8, South Shields NE33 1LP

SCOTLAND
PO Box 85, Glasgow G3 8UL

The BNP also has units in Basingstoke (Hants), Gloucestershire, Hertfordshire, Bedford, Luton, Cambridge, Brierley Hill (West Midlands), Burton-on-Trent, Nottingham, North Nottinghamshire, Bolton & Bury, Warrington, Halifax, Wakefield, Sheffield, Sunderland and Cumbria. If you want to make contact with any of these units, or start a new unit, please write to BNP Head Office at PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

PURE INVENTION

THE FLAG, main organ of the faction of the National Front known by the same name, is at it again! In its April issue it published a report of an NF meeting in Leeds on the 27th March in which it alleged that Mr. Stanley Clayton-Garnett, former North of England Chairman of the British National Party, had called on those present to support the National Front. In fact this allegation is pure invention. We have since spoken to Mr. Clayton-Garnett and he has flatly denied saying any such thing. His denial is corroborated by two other observers at the meeting in question, who confirm that he made no such statement. Mr. Clayton-Garnett has authorised a statement to be made on his behalf which is printed below.

FICTION AND FACT
On the right: the fabricated report in The Flag; below: Mr. Clayton-Garnett's statement of denial

It will be recalled that a short time ago *The Flag* also reported that the Editor of our own paper, Mr. John Morse, addressed an NF meeting in Fareham, Hants., a report which Mr. Morse later confirmed was totally untrue.

Yorkshire towns.

Mr Clayton-Garnett's speech called on Nationalist to ignore the irrelevant breakaway groupings and put all their efforts into promoting the NF. He condemned the leaderships of these tiny factions as "corrupt and inept". Praising the free internal debate and exchange of ideas which he saw as characteristic of the NF, Mr Clayton-Garnett was applauded loudly when he called on all British patriots to join the National Front.

After a report from West

Mr. Clayton-Garnett sets the record straight

Dear Sirs,

In the April 1988 issue of *The Flag* newspaper it is reported that, when speaking at a meeting of the National Front in Leeds on the 27th March, I "called on nationalists to ignore the irrelevant breakaway groupings and put all their efforts to promoting the National Front," and that I was applauded loudly when I "called on all British patriots to join the National Front."

I wish to make it clear that at no point during this speech did I make any such appeal. Since late last year I have taken a non-party and non-partisan role in British Nationalism, and appeared at the meeting concerned purely on invitation as a guest speaker. In my speech I called for nationalists in this country to unite forces in a broad-based movement, in which there is room for every shade of nationalist viewpoint. I did not call on those present to support any particular one of the organisations into which nationalism is presently divided.

The words attributed to me in the *Flag* report are therefore incorrect.

STANLEY CLAYTON-GARNETT

BOOKS FOR YOUR LIBRARY

The following are among the books available from the BNP Book Service. Add one eighth of the value of your order to cover postage. Send cash with orders or write for full list to: PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

RACE (J.R. Baker) £7.60. The author, a professional zoologist for 50 years, provides an objective and scholarly account of what race means and how the concept has affected human thinking. A specialist book, but one of great importance. 1974, 625pp.

THE ZIONIST TERROR NETWORK £2.00. A 12-page report on the murderous activities in the United States of the Jewish Defence League, compiled by the staff of the Institute for Historical Review.

IS THE DIARY OF ANNE FRANK GENUINE? (Robert Faurisson) £2.75. Reprinted from *The Journal of Historical Review*, this is a critical commentary on an emotive historical document. 1982, 62pp.

THE ELITE (Barbara Cole) £8.75. The exciting story of the Rhodesian Special Air Service and its campaign against terrorism. 1984, 449pp.

PORTRAIT OF A RACE TRAITOR

(Contd. from page 2)

coming into this category.

What is to be made of an individual who REJOICES in the process whereby peoples wholly foreign to his own are first admitted in large numbers into his country and then given wealth and power over his own people — and who, on top of that, hopes that his own people will be submerged in an integrated multi-racial stew?

The words spoken by Mr. Hurd at High Wycombe are the words of a man without loyalty to his own blood. They may be the words of a man who is sick in his mind and soul. They are certainly the words of a traitor — the worst kind of traitor: a traitor to his own race.

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *British Nationalist* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £4.30 for 12 issues for subscribers in the British Isles and £5.75 (unsealed surface mail) for subscribers overseas. Please make cheques/POs payable to *British Nationalist* and send them to PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

An additional charge of £5.50 is required on all foreign currency cheques and POs and an additional £1 on all foreign currency sent in banknotes.

Name.....

Address.....

What We Stand For

- The return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs
- A government that will put British interests first
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market
- Closer links with the White Commonwealth
- Protection of British industry by the selective exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain
- A sane financial system that will give to the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce
- The revival of British farming
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources
- A clamp-down on social security spongers and the restoration of the incentive to work — with the proviso that work is locally available
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation
- British support for the Whites in South Africa
- An end to financial aid to corrupt Third World regimes
- The strengthening of Britain's defences
- Tougher treatment of criminals, including the return of the death penalty
- The smashing of the IRA, the termination of the Anglo-Irish Agreement and firm support for the Loyalist majority in Northern Ireland
- Repealing of the laws permitting Abortion and Homosexuality and a general toughening of legislation against the promotion of obscenity in the media
- The elimination of communism in Britain, in the mass media, in the factory and in the schoolroom

WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS
(Tick appropriate box)

Please send me more information, for which I enclose 30p ☐

I wish to become a full member and enclose £6 (Half-rate for OAPs and students) ☐

I wish to become an associate member and enclose £3 (no half-rate) ☐

Name.....

Address.....